## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

## **AUGUSTA DIVISION**

KENNIN DWAYNE JACKSON,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) CV 124-082
MR. MORRIS, Deputy; MR. A. WOOD, Deputy; MR. STEARNS, Deputy / Jail Security; MS. R. BRINSON, Classification; MR. C. BROWN, Jail Investigator; MR. DANIELS, Lieutenant; MS. N. HARELLE, Captain; MS. SMITH, Intelligence; MS. J. THOMPSON, Intelligence; MR. TOOMER,	) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
Intelligence; and MR. MITCHELLE, Major,  Defendants.	) )
O R	DER

On July 19, 2024, the Court directed Plaintiff to pay an initial partial filing fee of \$0.13 within thirty days of the date of the Order and advised him that all prisoners, even those proceeding *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), must pay the filing fee of \$350.00 in full. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). The time for responding to the Court's July 19th Order has now expired, yet Plaintiff has failed to pay the assessed initial partial filing fee as required by the relevant provisions of the United States Code.

Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), prisoners granted IFP status must, when funds are available, prepay at least a partial filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1)-(2). Courts may not except indigent prisoners from prepaying this partial filing fee. See id.; see

also Rivera v. Allin, 144 F.3d 719, 722 (11th Cir. 1998), abrogated on other grounds by

Jones v. Bock, 549 U.S. 199 (2007); Martin v. United States, 96 F.3d 853, 856 (7th Cir.

1996) (insisting, whenever feasible, on payment in advance of initial filing fee in every civil

action covered by the PLRA). Thus, Plaintiff shall have fourteen days from the date of this

Order to inform the Court of his intentions regarding this case by complying with the Court's

Order directing payment of an initial filing fee of \$0.13 or by showing the Court why he has

not complied with the Order directing the payment. The CLERK is DIRECTED to

immediately inform the Court of any payment of the initial filing fee which is received from

Plaintiff.

If Plaintiff notifies the Court that he has decided not to pursue his case and wishes to

voluntarily dismiss his complaint at this time, then the case will not count as a "strike" which

may later subject Plaintiff to the three-strike dismissal rule under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). It is

important that Plaintiff communicate with the Court.

SO ORDERED this 20th day of August, 2024, at Augusta, Georgia.

BRIAN K. EPPS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

2